IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO. 7385 of 2005

Between:

T.Venkatesh, S/o.Yellanna
Conductor (E-250832), APSRTC Wanaparthy Bus Depot,

Mahabubnagar District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Depot Manager, APSRTC

Wanaparthy Bus Depot, Mahabubnagar District.
2 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Gadwal Bus Depot,

Mahabubnagar District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring that the action of the Respondent No.2 in imposing the punishment of deferment of annual increment for a period of 2 years which shall have effect on petitioners future increments as illegal, arbitrary unjust and unreasonable and violative of principles of natural justice and also contrary to the judgments of this Hon'ble Court and apex court by setting aside the final order No.PA/62 (532)/90-GDL Dt.10.1.1991 of the R2 herein and consequently direct the respondent No.1 and 2 to add the increments which were deferred and pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.VENKATESWAR RAO

Counsel for the Respondent Nos:1 and 2 MR.P.VINAYAKA SWAMY (SC FOR APSRTC)

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

ORDER:

Declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in imposing major penalty vide proceedings No.PA/62(532)/90-GDL dated 10.01.1991, as illegal and arbitrary, the present writ petition is filed.

The petitioner is working as a Conductor in the respondent Corporation. He was charged for certain cash and ticket irregularities. He submitted his explanation. The 2nd respondent passed an order on 10.01.1991, without conducting any enquiry, by imposing a penalty of deferment of annual increment for a period of two years, which shall have effect on future increments of the petitioner. Hence the writ petition.

The grievance of the petitioner is that since it is a major punishment, it cannot be maintained unless it is imposed after holding an enquiry and giving an opportunity to the petitioner. Therefore, he approached this Court through this writ petition to declare that the action of the respondents is illegal and to direct the respondents to add the deferred increment.

It is well settled that denial of increments with cumulative effect tantamount to a major penalty, and such penalty cannot be imposed by the disciplinary authority without holding a regular departmental enquiry in terms of relevant service regulations.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the punishment of major penalty of deferment of annual increment for a period of two years with cumulative effect, imposed by the

2nd respondent, can be reduced with slight modification. Therefore, the impugned order of punishment is modified as deferment of annual increment for a period of two years without cumulative effect. The petitioner is not entitled for the difference of the amount, if any, by virtue of this Order, but he is entitled to get the increment on notional calculation from the date of this order, to which, he is entitled.

The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of. No costs.

Dated: 05.04.2005

Dsr

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To:

1. The Depot Manager, APSRTC,

Wanaparthy Bus Depot, Mahabubnagar District

2. The Depot Manager, APSRTC,

Gadwal Bus Depot, Mahabubnagar District

3. Two CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**MPL**}*